Project #35

Managing Modelling Inconsistencies

05 December 2019



Project context

- Combining many different *artefacts* during development of software systems
- Possible many other artefacts
 - Created in different tools
 - And different (modeling) languages
 - Existing in different *versions*
 - And in different *variants*
- Goals:
 - Ensuring that they are *consistent*



Outcomes of earlier sprints

- Paper on interview study at 3 companies
 - Main topic: *combining* continuous integration (*CI*) and model-based development (*MBD*)
 - Most interesting findings:

 $\mathbf{ }$

MÄLARDALEN UNIVERSITY SWEDEN

- Most important experienced and expected challenges (of 14): *tool interoperability* and *model synchronization*.
- In some scenarios *not useful*, sometimes *too large gap* between models and code to make short development increments work.
- So then, focus on *closing the gap* between those models and code through support for model synchronization.

Robbert Jongeling, Jan Carlson, Antonio Cicchetti. "Impediments to Introducing Continuous Integration for Model-Based Development in Industry." 45th *Euromicro Conference on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA 2019).*

Outcomes of earlier sprints

- Paper on inter-model consistency checking approach
 - Three main requirements

 $\mathbf{ }$

MÄLARDALEN UNIVERSITY SWEDEN

- Should be *lightweight*, minimal input required
- Structural *inter-model* consistency checks
- Inconsistency is inevitable, so we should "allow, but warn"
- Two main ingredients
 - Define only what elements should be linked at highest hierarchical level
 - *Generate* executable consistency *checks* that traverse the hierarchy
- One main result
 - Tool implemented to check *structural* consistency between SysML and Simulink models refining them

Robbert Jongeling, Federico Ciccozzi, Antonio Cicchetti, Jan Carlson. "Lightweight Consistency Checking for Agile Model-Based Development in Practice." *15th European Conference on Modelling Foundations and Applications (ECMFA 2019).*

Focus during next sprint Continuation of projects at two partners

- Automatically detecting *correspondences* between *system model* (SysML) and *code* (C++)
 - To what extent is the code fulfilling the specification in the model?
 - Can we visualize the degree of consistency in the system model?



Focus during next sprint Continuation of projects at two partners

- Extend existing model-code gap analysis to deal with different model versions and different variants and versions of software components
 - Components can exist in several versions and variants of the system
 - Components might be thirdparty/open-source







www.software-center.se

In sprint 18: project #35 *MAIN* Questions, ideas, suggestions, <u>collaborations</u>? ©

> Robbert Jongeling <u>robbert.jongeling@mdh.se</u> linkedin.com/in/robbertjongeling

> > https://robbert.science